

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/559,704	04/27/2000	Michael D. Zoeckler	7137 2557		
759	90 05/28/2002				
Steve M Mclary			EXAMINER		
Riverwood International Corporation 3350 Riverwood Parkway S E			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
Suite 1400 Atlanta, GA 30)339		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	. •	Applicant(s)					
	09/559,704	_	ZOECKLER, MICHAEL D.					
· Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
, omee fleaten cummary		ormon	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	<u> March 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-f	înal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 and 25-34 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 25-34</u> is/are rejected.								
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9	4)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					



Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-8, 11-13, 16, 25, and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walsh (US 5,746,871).

Walsh discloses a method for forming carton blanks comprising advancing a web of paperboard 2 along a path; progressively applying, with an adhesive, at least one ribbon of reinforcing material 6 with a width less than the paperboard; the paperboard is then cut and scored (some score lines 52 are adjacent to edge of ribbon 6) to form individual carton blanks for receiving articles (see figures 1 and 2, column 1, lines 24-65). A second ribbon 10 is further applied to the composite layers; see figure 1. Regarding claim 5, ribbons 6 are adhered to the opposing edges of the paperboard 2; see figure 2.

Walsh describes the use of both adhesives and supplying blanks with panel portions (see figures 5 and 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Art Unit: 3721

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (US 5,746,871).

Walsh does not directly disclose printing indicia on the ribbon, however the examiner takes OFFICIAL NOTICE that it is well known in the art to print indicia on at least one ribbon of reinforcing material before adhering it to the web of paperboard.

5. Claims 2, 14-15, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (US 5,746,871) in view of Stone (US 5,551,938).

Walsh does not directly disclose using paperboard (trim or otherwise) for the reinforcing ribbons 6. However, Stone teaches manufacturing a paperboard carton with a reinforcing collar made of "either paperboard, thick paper... or flexible plastic." (column 4, lines 48-49). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a reinforcing ribbon of paperboard (trim, cull, etc.) as taught by Stone to supply additional support to the cartons of Walsh.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant describes (page 12, second paragraph) that Walsh details that "in some instances" the fluid impervious material is not secured (column 1, line 14). This is in discussing the background of the invention and does not describe <u>all</u> instances.

Applicant's reliance upon this language is not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Art Unit: 3721

Furthermore, Walsh describes the use of various rollers as desired for applying the adhesive; see column 3, lines 17-20.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/559,704

Art Unit: 3721

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number

is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday

from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579

for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

ch

May 22, 2002

SCOTT A. SMITH

Page 5